

Long Term Forensic Psychiatric Care in Belgium

First Experiences in Flanders

Steven Degrauwe

U.P.C. Sint-Kamillus, Bierbeek

08/11/2018



Table of Contents

History

Long Term Forensic Psychiatric Care

Quality of Life

Protection

Research



Table of Contents

History

Long Term Forensic Psychiatric Care
Quality of Life
Protection

Research



Short History: Belgium and Forensic Psychiatric Care

1930: Progressive law for offenders with psychiatric problems/mental disabilities

Not guilty by reason of insanity (NGRI)

But: The progressive law stayed theory

Since mid-80's: 23 convictions from the European Court of Human Rights

Peak: 10% of prison-population NGRI (>1.100)

Forensic Psychiatric Care for NGRI: 1998 – 2016

- 1998 Start specialized teams for sexual delinquents. Also for, but no specific focus on, sexual delinquents NGRI
- 2001 3 Medium Security Facilities and 3 units for people with mental disabilities
- 2014 Extra capacity in Non-Forensic Psychiatric Care
- 2015 First High-Security Forensic Psychiatric Center
- 2016 Unit Long Term Forensic Psychiatric Care.
- 201x ...

Table of Contents

History

Long Term Forensic Psychiatric Care
Quality of Life
Protection

Research



Academic Psychiatric Center Sint-Kamillus, Bierbeek Medium Security Forensic Psychiatry since 2001

- ▶ 3 units Medium Security treatment
Schizophrenia and Personality Disorders
- ▶ 2 units Medium Security treatment (longer term) and Long
Term 'Medium Security' Care
Schizophrenia and Personality Disorders
- ▶ 1 team for Outreachment
Forensic FACT
- ▶ 1 unit Medium Security Treatment (since April 2018)
Mental Disability
- ▶ 1 unit Long Term Forensic Psychiatric Care (LFP)

LFP

Long Term Forensic Psychiatric Care
High Security
Capacity: 30 men
April 2016

Inclusion - Exclusion

Inclusion

- ▶ Patiënts with a sustained risk level
- ▶ Limited/no possibilities for resocialisation

Exclusion

- ▶ Level op group-functioning
- ▶ High risk on aggression
- ▶ High risk on escape

Goals

- ▶ Quality of Life
- ▶ Protection

Theoretical Principles

Good Lives Model (Ward, 2002)

Everybody is in search of activities that contribute to their general well-being.

Criminal Behavior

Maladjusted behavior: inappropriate means of securing the defined goals in function of the general well-being.



Theory: Consequences

Risk oriented approach

- ▶ Start = Risk Assessment
- ▶ Additionally = Patient motivation and responsivity of the patient

GLM-approach

- ▶ Start = Patient's goals (contributing to quality of life)
- ▶ Analysis of the capacities and risks in obtaining these goals

Theory in Practice

- ▶ Before admission: clear about the unit's objectives
- ▶ No treatment (Therapy vs Activities)
- ▶ Participation!
Rules vs Agreements
Importance of the group
- ▶ Basic (Care) Needs (somatic care, Freedom, Responsibilities...)
- ▶ Restore network
- ▶ 'Yes, unless...'

Security Measures

Infrastructure and Procedures

- ▶ 4m fence
- ▶ Camera-system
- ▶ Training of staff members
- ▶ Alarm-system

Relational Security

- ▶ 'Therapeutic' relationship = core of our security-measures
- ▶ Giving Responsibilities to patients
- ▶ No drugs/alcohol
- ▶ No violence



Evaluation

Patient is part of the evaluation process! Quality of Life

- ▶ Interview + Questionnaire (FQL-SV)

Protection

- ▶ Risk Assessment

Goal

To adjust the offered treatment (environment) so that it corresponds with the needs and possibilities of the patient, with security of the patient(s) and his environment in mind.

Table of Contents

History

Long Term Forensic Psychiatric Care

Quality of Life

Protection

Research



Research

Risk Assessment?

Current instruments: treatment perspective

Few (?) instruments: evaluation of risk in the current setting,
without future perspectives taken into account

Limitations: not in psychiatric context

Goal

To develop and validate a

- ▶ Contextualized assessment of adaptation in a forensic psychiatric context
- ▶ Daily indicators of transgressive behavior
- ▶ Self-perspective
- ▶ Relevance of Personality Pathology
- ▶ Supportive of Risk Assessment

Progress

- ▶ Construction of the instrument
- ▶ Extensive literature review
- ▶ Pilot study
- ▶ Initial psychometric properties and validation study

Interesting connections between our instrument and the AMPD (DSM-5)

Future

- ▶ Within-person variance of adaptation and personality factors
- ▶ Cross validation across a larger forensic sample
- ▶ Further exploration of the contribution of model to risk assessment



Questions?

Steven Degrauwe

U.P.C. Sint-Kamillus

Krijkelberg 1

3360 Bierbeek

+32 16/45.27.92

steven.degrauwe@fracarita.org

www.kamillus.be

www.voppsy.ugent.be/en/personality-psychology.html

www.researchgate.net/profile/Steven_Degrauwe

